

Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 103961

46

ORIGIN EB-07

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 DODE-00 NSAE-00 TRSE-00 ERDA-05 CIAE-00

COME-00 EA-06 MC-02 ACDA-05 EUR-12 OES-03 /041 R

DRAFTED BY EB/ITP:JTARTTER:JO

APPROVED BY EB/ITP:JTARTTER

COMMERCE/OEA:RMEYER

DEFENSE/ISA:MMOUNTAIN (SUBS)

ERDA:JKRATZ (INFO)

----- 015127

O 031454Z MAY 75

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USMISSION OECD PARIS IMMEDIATE

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 103961

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: ESTC, COCOM

SUBJECT: COCOM: COMPUTER LIST REVIEW

REF : OECD PARIS 10701, 10703, 10777, 11111

1. ON YOUR 10701, YOU MAY CONFIRM AD REFS ON (D)(1), (D)(2), (G) AND (H). DEFENSE STILL CHECKING (C)(2) AND (C)(3) AND WISHES TO DISCUSS (D)(3) WITH FINKLER. ALSO WISH TO CALL FINKLER'S ATTENTION TO FOURTH CHANGE PROPOSED PARA ONE OF 10701. DELETION THIS LINE IN HEADING TO NOTE 5 SEEMS TO IMPLY THAT ALL GRAPHIC DISPLAYS COULD BE EXPORTED WITH SUCH EQUIPMENT, WHICH NOT

BELIEVED IN ACCORD WITH AGREED U.S. POSITION. PLEASE REVIEW AND COMMENT.

2. FOLLOWING GUIDANCE ON 10703:

A. WE AGREE INTENT OF NOTE 11 IS AS STATED BY U.S. DEL IN CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 103961

PARA 2, I.E. NO LICENSE REQUIREMENT FOR OFFICE TYPE COMPUTERS, ONLY SPARES. WE STILL NEED SOME CONTROL OVER SPARES TO INSURE AGAINST LEAKAGE OF EMBARGOED COMPONENTS BY THIS MEANS. WE ARE RELUCTANT TO ACCEPT IDEA OF

GENERAL LICENSING OF SPARES BY COUNTRY EXPORTING
EQUIPMENT BECAUSE WE HAVE NO EXPERIENCE WITH OPERATION
OF PROPOSED NOTE. USDEL SHOULD PROPOSE AE PROCEDURE

FOR SPARES WITH 24-MONTH TIME LIMIT AT END OF WHICH
ORIGINAL EXPORTER WOULD BE AUTHORIZED TO SHIP SPARES
WITHOUT AE REPORTING.

B. CAN ACCEPT DELETION OF "CIVIL" PARA 5.

C. CAN ACCEPT UK LANGUAGE PARA 7, CHANGED TO 45 DAYS.

D. WE WILLING TO EXPLORE MORE LIBERAL PARAMETERS WITH
JAPAN OR TO ADOPT WORDING INDICATING WILLINGNESS
TO LOOK AT DEVICES marginally IN EXCESS OF PARAMETERS.
WOULD OPPOSE SOU HOWEVER.

E. FAIL TO UNDERSTAND NEED FOR DUTCH PROPOSAL PARA 10.
WE PREFER NOT TO DEFINE COVERAGE BY SELLING METHODS.
DON'T PARAMETERS OF SUBITEM (E) AND UK PROPOSAL
PARA 7 TAKE CARE OF PROBLEM?

3. ON YOUR 10777, WE UNABLE IN TIME AVAILABLE TO STATE
FINAL POSITIONS. YOU ARE AUTHORIZED TO ACCEPT 50,000
LINES AD REF AND TO CONTINUE DISCUSSIONS ON THIS
BASIS. HOPE IT THEREFORE NOT NECESSARY TO HOLD OVER
DISCUSSION OF NOTE 10.

4. FOLLOWING GUIDANCE ON 11111:

A. WE CAN ACCEPT TERM "NON-STRATEGIC" IN NOTE 5(A)
BUT WE FEEL UK HAS NOT MADE CONVINCING ARGUMENT
FOR THIS TERM IN 5(B). EXAMPLE OF COMPUTER FOR
HOSPITAL OR MILITARY PAYROLLS COULD BE TAKEN CARE
OF THROUGH GENERAL EXCEPTION. PARTICULARLY IN LIGHT
OF DIFFERENCES WHICH HAVE EMERGED BETWEEN U.S. AND
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 103961

UK APPROACH TO STRATEGIC CRITERIA, WE DO NOT WISH
TO LIBERALIZE HIGHER CAPACITY COMPUTERS WHEN WE LACK
COMMON UNDERSTANDING OF ACCEPTABLE PURPOSES. SUGGEST
YOU CONTINUE BILATERA'S WITH UK ON THIS POINT.

B. WE ARE UNABLE TO CLAIM TO HAVE SECURED ACCESS FOR
UNLIMITED PERIOD ON MAJOR COMPUTER SYSTEMS. CDC
COMPUTERS AT SWIERK AND DUBNA REQUIRE "REASONABLE
ACCESS" FOR WESTERN SCIENTIFIC PERSONNEL WITH TIME
PERIOD NOT SPECIFIED. NO STATEMENT INCLUDED ON CDC
ACCESS BEYOND RESIDENCY. SIEMENS 4004/150 CALLS FOR
ACCESS "FOR AN UNLIMITED PERIOD (IN NO EVENT TO BE LESS
THAN THREE YEARS FROM DATE OF INSTALLATION)".

AEROFLOT/KAMA CALL FOR "RIGHT OF FREE ACCESS AT ALL TIMES."

C. IN LIGHT OF ABOVE DO NOT THINK WE CAN MAKE CASE FOR ACCESS FOR INDEFINITE PERIOD. TEN YEARS IS OUT OF LINE WITH WHAT WE HAVE SECURED FOR LARGEST U.S. COMPUTERS AND MAY BE DIFFICULT CONCESSION TO OBTAIN FROM END-USER. WE CAN ACCEPT RIGHT OF ACCESS FOR PERIOD OF VISITATION OR PERIOD SPARES GUARANTEED, WHICHEVER LONGER. IN OTHER WORDS, IF VISITATION SIX YEARS AND SPARES GUARANTEED TEN, RIGHT OF ACCESS WOULD

BE FOR TEN. COULD ALSO ACCEPT EXISTING LANGUAGE OF U.S. PROPOSAL WITH FOREGOING UNDERSTANDING ON THE RECORD.

D. CAN ACCEPT UK WORDING IN PARA 7 REFTTEL COVERING SPARE PARTS CONTROL.

3. YOU ARE AUTHORIZED TO SUPPORT UK COMPROMISE ON VISITATION OF MONTHLY FOR THREE YEARS, THEN QUARTERLY FOR THREE YEARS. WE ASSUME CATEGORIES NOW SUBJECT TO QUARTERLY VISITS INDEFINITELY IN U.S. PROPOSAL WOULD BE SUBJECT TO QUARTERLY VISITS FOR SIX YEARS. KISSINGER

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 26 AUG 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: EXCEPTIONS LIST, STRATEGIC TRADE CONTROLS, COMPUTERS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 03 MAY 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GarlanWA
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975STATE103961
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: EB/ITP:JTARTTER:JO
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS
Errors: n/a
Film Number: D750156-0251
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t197505101/baaaaairn.tel
Line Count: 137
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN EB
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GarlanWA
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 16 MAY 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <16 MAY 2003 by CunninFX>; APPROVED <03 JUN 2003 by GarlanWA>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
05 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: n/a
TAGS: ESTC, COCOM
To: OECD PARIS
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006